

## Explanation About Board 14 in Section A

At the end of the session the director was called and it was pointed out that the cards in board 14 were not correct.

Although the East and West hands were in the correct pockets the North hand was in the South pocket and the South hand was in the North pocket.

An examination of the results on the board makes it look likely that when the board was at table 2 during the lunch break that the cards were put back wrongly at that stage.

If that is correct, it means that Table 1 played the cards with N/S switched and then after a couple of rounds of that board being among the feed-ins it was also played with the cards switched at Tables 12, 11, 10, 9 and 8.

So Tables 1, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 are presumed to have played a different board to the other 17 tables. Each of those groups is only compared against the tables which played the board the same way. There is a complicated formula to work out the match-points awarded.

There were some cases where two tables got the same score as each other, but different match-points were awarded. That is because although they got the same score, they were effectively playing a different board. They can only be compared against other people who played the board in the same condition.

For example N/S 1 played the fouled version of the board, got +140 and scored 33.5 match-points. Another table, who played the board in the original condition scored +140 but got 35.5 match-points. It is OK that they got different scores for the same result. It is just what those scores were worth when compared to other people who played exactly the same board.